ORIGINAL



Pierce Road Chiropractic Linda Ann Hansen, D. C.

JUN 1 2 2006

SECRETARY

June 6, 2006

Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary, Room H-135(Annex W)

RE: Business Opportunity Rule, R511993

600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20580

RE: Business Opportunity Rule R511993

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this letter because of my concern about the proposed Business Opportunity Rule R511993. I believe in its present form this rule will not allow me to continue to effectively sell or use Mannatech nutritional supplements with my patients, as I do presently.

People want nutrients to work as efficaciously as possible. Waiting 7 days to enroll new associates, rather than enabling them to get started with the supplements ASAP at the lowest cost, will not have a positive impact on my patient's health. Added to this, it gives the further impression that my recommendations for Mannatech nutritional supplements may not be the right ones. People buy much more expensive items without waiting 7 days to purchase them. Since Mannatech has a 90% buy back policy for any product purchased in the last year, the waiting period seems unnecessary.

Another concern is the added paperwork necessary resulting from when I first speak to a person regarding the Mannatech supplements and the detailed records that will be necessary to send to the company headquarters. The doctor's paperwork is already overwhelming.

Privacy issues become a paramount concern. The requirements as they are proposed in Rule R511993, conflict with HIPPA rules. I cannot give the names of patients and their private information without their written approval. Disclosing 10 prior purchases nearest to the prospective purchaser is impossible to do. This would essentially stop my use of Mannatech supplements to help my patients; a sad and detrimental step for those who could benefit from these products.

If it seems necessary to release information concerning companies and their involvement in litigation regarding misrepresentation, or unfair or deceptive practices, then why not disclose who won the lawsuit. Without disclosure there is a negative impact on the company that has done nothing wrong.

I have been practicing as a Chiropractic doctor since 1989 and have been studying nutrition since 1970. My objective with my patients is to facilitate their recovery and maintenance with as few supplements as possible. Mannatech has enabled me to aid them in this way. I realize that the FTC's intent is to protect the consumer, but this rule R511993 has unintentional consequences that would not only be burdensome, but also prevent many from experiencing the benefits associated with Mannatech and it's products.

Thank you for your time and consideration of my comments.

